

TIEF Internationalization Grant Application

Identification

1. Name of University:
2. First Name:
3. Last Name:
4. University Email:
5. Title:
6. College/Unit:
7. Department/Unit:
8. Please Upload a Brief CV (2-3 pages Word or PDF):

Overview of the Proposed Project

9. Project Title
10. Project Partners (please list all partners names, titles, departments/units, and emails)
11. Please describe the project and explain how this proposed project is relevant to your university's internationalization agenda. How will this proposed project greatly improve your efforts and drive growth? (500 words max)
12. Please explain your plans for implementation and describe the feasibility of the program/project during the grant period. (250 words max)
13. Please describe the scope and impact of the programs/projects beyond the grant period. (250 words max)

Budget and Budget Narrative

14. Please upload a budget document containing specific line items that demonstrate how the grant funds will be used.
15. Provide a budget narrative explaining why the grant will be instrumental in helping you develop the proposed project. (300 words max)

Letter(s) of Support from Department(s)

16. Please upload letters of support from leadership units involved with the submission.

Thank you for your application! Your application will be sent to your Senior International Officer (or designated representative of international programs for the institution) for review. We will update you on the status of your application in the coming weeks.

TIEF Internationalization Grant Evaluation Rubric

The following rubric is intended to provide a continuum of ratings that will guide the evaluation of TIEF Grant proposals. The rubric is intended as a guide for the assignment of a composite rating to each proposal on a scale of 0-10.

Relevance:

10 - Compelling and convincing articulation of how the proposed project clearly connects to the university's strategic internationalization goals. There is a clear description on how the proposed project will greatly improve their internationalization efforts and drive growth. The project goals are realistic and focused.

5 - Acceptable articulation of the proposed project. The proposed project is lacking details on how it connects to the university's strategic internationalization goals. The description does not clearly state how the proposed project will greatly improve their internationalization efforts and drive growth.

0 – Poor articulation of the proposed project. There are no details on how the project connects to their university's internationalization agenda. Goals aren't well defined or aren't realistic.

Feasibility:

10 – The plan for implementation is clearly described, innovative, and well thought out. The implementation plan includes a schedule and accounts for the bureaucratic process within their university. The project is focused, reasonable and is likely to be completed within the projected timeline.

5 – The plan for implementation is clearly described but lacks details on timeline or doesn't account for the university's specific bureaucracy.

0 – The plan for implementation is poorly explained and not well thought out.

Scope and Impact:

10 – The scope and impact of the project is clearly described. The project will make a significant contribution to the university's internationalization goals. There is a compelling articulation of how the project will continue beyond the grant period.

5 – The scope of the project is clearly described but the description of the impact is not compelling or lacks details. Lacks explanation about the project's sustainability beyond the grant period.

0 – Poor explanation of the scope and impact of the project.

Use of Funds:

10 – The budget narrative clearly connects the use of funds with the goals of the project. The budget narrative explains how all of the funds from the grant will be used to improve their university's internationalization efforts.

5 – The budget narrative is clear but is lacking details on how all the line items connect with the goals of the project.

0 –The budget narrative is poorly written and does not contain details on how funds will be utilized.